

MASSACHUSETTS CATHOLIC CONFERENCE  
WEST END PLACE

150 Staniford Street, Boston, MA 02114-2511  
Phone (617) 367-6060  
FAX (617) 367-2767

**LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY**

To: Members of the Joint Committee on Economic Development and Emerging Technologies

From: Edward F. Saunders, Jr., Esq., Executive Director

Re: Predatory Gambling

Senate 174, "An Act Establishing the Massachusetts Gaming Control Act,"

Senate 2042, "An Act Establishing and Regulating Resort-Style Entertainment in the Commonwealth,"

House 4065, "An Act Establishing Casinos in Massachusetts,"

House 4068, "An Act Establishing and Regulating Resort-Style Entertainment in the Commonwealth,"

House 4081, "An Act Relative to Gaming,"

House 4082, "An Act Relative to the Issuance of a Permit for the Temporary Operation of a Casino in the Commonwealth,"

Senate 2038, "An Act to Control Gaming in Massachusetts,"

Senate 2039, "An Act Revitalizing Live Racing in the Commonwealth,"

Senate 2040, "An Act Establishing the Massachusetts Pari-Mutuel Enhancement Act,"

House 334, "An Act Relative to Slot Machines at Racetracks," and

House 4067, "An Act Relative to Gaming Control."

Date: October 29, 2009

The Massachusetts Catholic Conference ("Conference") respectfully submits this testimony in opposition to authorizing predatory gambling in Massachusetts.

The Roman Catholic Church is not opposed to gambling. The Church recognizes that gambling can be a legitimate form of recreation, but it can be accompanied also by a "passion [that] risks becoming an enslavement," and the Church considers gambling "morally unacceptable" when it "deprive[s] someone of what is necessary to provide for his needs and those of others."<sup>1</sup>

The Roman Catholic Bishops in Massachusetts have consistently opposed the expansion of gambling in the Commonwealth because, as explained in their most recent statement, "in gambling, especially in casinos and high stake lotteries, there are increased dangers and abuses that warrant vigilance and concern. There is no doubt that gambling can victimize the poor and often surpass 'legitimate recreation.' ...[C]asinos and the authorization for additional slot machines will raise gambling to a new level in our Commonwealth. In addition these can also

---

<sup>1</sup> Catechism of the Catholic Church, no. 2413 (included within a discussion of the Seventh Commandment, "You shall not steal").

encourage addictive gambling. The state should not depend on gambling for resources to pay for needed services.”<sup>2</sup>

The United States International Gambling Report Series (2008), a collection of academic and government reports, includes national statistics showing that taxpayers spend three dollars in social welfare costs for each dollar that gambling generates.<sup>3</sup> The social welfare costs include, but are not limited to, increased bankruptcies, crime, gambling addictions, broken families and poverty. Areas with casinos see personal bankruptcies rise from 18 to 42 percent, crimes increase by 10 percent and the number of addicted gamblers double.<sup>4</sup> John W. Kindt, editor and contributing author of the reports and University of Illinois professor, stated that “[i]f you're dumping money into these slot machines, you're not spending money on cars, refrigerators, computers, education. In studies, it shows that around these slot machine areas we have people spending even 10 percent less on food.”<sup>5</sup>

In 1999, the National Gambling Impact Study Commission, created by Congress to investigate the gambling industry, and made up of gambling supporters and opponents, concluded that “there is a need for a ‘pause’ in the growth of gambling,”<sup>6</sup> after noting that gambling’s “rapid growth . . . begs a host of questions” that have not been adequately considered.<sup>7</sup> The critical concerns identified by the Commission included whether “[gambling’s] benefits outweigh its costs,” whether it “will sap the very citizens it is intended to help,” whether it will “raise or lower crime rates,” and whether “more gambling [will] automatically mean more problem and pathological gambling.”<sup>8</sup> The Commission observed that “[n]o one has definitive answers to these and other questions about gambling, least of all our policymakers, who are now caught short and, in some cases, may be flying blind as they attempt to formulate rational, informed gambling policies.”<sup>9</sup>

After completing comprehensive, unbiased research, the Commission concluded that gambling, whatever its benefits, came with “undeniable and significant costs.”<sup>10</sup> For example, the Commission found that economic benefits from casinos were generally limited to their immediate vicinity while the social costs tended to be diffused throughout a broader geographic region.<sup>11</sup> The Commission also “heard repeated testimony of desperate gamblers committing illegal acts to finance their problem and pathological gambling.”<sup>12</sup> The Commission’s own research “suggest[ed] that a relationship may exist between gambling activity and the commission of a crime,”<sup>13</sup> and determined that “people within communities that host legalized

---

<sup>2</sup> Catholic Bishops in Massachusetts, Statement on Gambling (March 18, 2008).

<sup>3</sup> Dennis, J., “New Book Series Renews Call for Ban on Gambling,” Bio-Medicine, April 2, 2009.

<sup>4</sup> Dennis, J., “Gambling Ban Would Reverse Recession, New Book Says,” News Bureau, U. of Illinois, April 16, 2009.

<sup>5</sup> Carden, D., “Illinois Professor Says Economic Fix Starts with Gambling Ban” The Daily Herald, April 21, 2009.

<sup>6</sup> Final Report of the National Gambling Impact Study Commission (“NGISC Final Report”), Executive Summary at 53 (June 18, 1999) available at <http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/ngisc/>.

<sup>7</sup> NGISC Final Report, Executive Summary at 2.

<sup>8</sup> Id.

<sup>9</sup> Id.

<sup>10</sup> NGISC Final Report, Chapter 7: Gambling’s Impacts on People and Places at 7-2.

<sup>11</sup> Id. at 7-11.

<sup>12</sup> Id. at 7-13.

<sup>13</sup> Id. at 7-14.

gambling believe crime rates are up,” which the Commission found “troubling and demand[ed] greater research,”<sup>14</sup> and “found wide-spread perception among community leaders that indebtedness tends to increase with legalized gambling, as does youth crime, forgery and credit card theft, domestic violence, child neglect, problem gambling, and alcohol and drug offenses.”<sup>15</sup>

Further, “the Commission likewise heard abundant testimony and evidence that compulsive gambling introduces a greatly heightened level of stress and tension into marriages and families, often culminating in divorces and other manifestations of familial disharmony.”<sup>16</sup> The Commission discovered that “[i]ndividuals with gambling problems seem to constitute a higher percentage of the homeless population”<sup>17</sup> and the “[c]hildren of compulsive gamblers are often prone to suffer abuse, as well as neglect, as a result of parental problem or pathological gambling.”<sup>18</sup> Of great interest to the Massachusetts debate, “It was brought to the Commission’s attention that cases of parents leaving their children in the Foxwoods casino parking lot became so commonplace that Foxwoods management posted signs warning that such incidents would be reported to the police.”<sup>19</sup>

Two additional studies, both published in 2006 and updating and ratifying many of the findings of the National Gambling Impact Study Commission, also merit this Committee’s attention. First, in “the largest problem gambling survey conducted in the United States,”<sup>20</sup> submitted by the National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago to the State of California’s Office of Problem and Pathological Gambling, researchers reported that “[t]he lifetime prevalence of problem and pathological gambling in California is particularly high among men, African Americans and respondents who are disabled or unemployed,” and that “lifetime problem and pathological gamblers are significantly more likely than other gamblers and non-gamblers to smoke cigarettes daily and to have used tranquilizers, cocaine or other illicit drugs in the past year.”<sup>21</sup>

Second, in a review of United Kingdom and international gambling data that was commissioned by Scotland’s government, it was reported that “[r]esearch has found that proximity to casinos increases rates of problem gambling in the local population,” particularly within a fifty mile radius, where the rate doubles.<sup>22</sup> In addition, “[d]isadvantaged social groups who experience poverty, unemployment, dependence on welfare, and low levels of education and household income are most likely to suffer the adverse consequences of increased gambling.”<sup>23</sup> Moreover, while “[i]ndividuals on lower incomes and with lower levels of education are less likely to visit casinos than the general population, ...when they do, they tend to experience more problems

---

<sup>14</sup> Id.

<sup>15</sup> Id.

<sup>16</sup> Id. at 7-26.

<sup>17</sup> Id. at 7-27.

<sup>18</sup> Id. at 7-28.

<sup>19</sup> Id.

<sup>20</sup> Final Report: 2006 California Problem Gambling Prevalence Survey 20 (Aug. 2006) (“California Report”), available at [http://www.adp.ca.gov/OPG/pdf/CA\\_Problem\\_Gambling\\_Prevalence\\_Survey-Final\\_Report.pdf](http://www.adp.ca.gov/OPG/pdf/CA_Problem_Gambling_Prevalence_Survey-Final_Report.pdf).

<sup>21</sup> California Report at 94-95.

<sup>22</sup> Scottish Executive Social Research, Research on the Social Impacts of Gambling: Final Report, Executive Summary at 6 (2006) (“Scottish Report”), available at <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/143770/0036514.pdf>.

<sup>23</sup> Scottish Report, Executive Summary at 6.

with their playing.”<sup>24</sup> Finally, the Scottish report noted emerging trends where increased percentages of women and “relatively affluent people” are developing problems with gambling.<sup>25</sup>

The Conference respectfully calls this Committee’s attention to Governor Deval Patrick’s own words when he announced his intention to legalize casino gambling in the Commonwealth. In his press conference on September 17, 2007, he acknowledged that the expanded gambling he proposed would cause additional hardships for individuals and families.<sup>26</sup> He stated that “increases in drug and alcohol abuse, personal bankruptcy and even domestic violence have been documented.” The Governor affirmed that “the impact on an affected individual or families can be devastating.” He thus appears to accept the social problems associated with casino gambling as a “cost of doing business” by proposing to set aside some of the Commonwealth’s casino income for treatment. The Conference respectfully submits that, based upon the Governor’s words alone, authorizing predatory gambling is wrong. Government should not take any action that causes harm to its citizens.

Additionally, according to a report issued by the Rockefeller Institute of Government on September 21, 2009, for the first time in three decades, income that state and local governments received from authorized gambling operations declined by 2.6 percent from fiscal year 2008 to 2009<sup>27</sup>. The study went on to report that new gambling activities often provide a quick boost to state revenues, but generally do not keep pace with traditional tax revenues and government expenditures over time.

“The historical tendency for revenues from existing gambling operations to grow at a significantly slower pace than other state revenues may hold important lessons for states as policymakers consider further expansion of casinos, racinos, and other gambling activities,” Institute Deputy Director Robert B. Ward and Institute Senior Policy Analyst Lucy Dadayan wrote in the report. “Expenditures on education and other programs will generally grow more rapidly than gambling revenue over time. Thus, new gambling operations that are intended to pay for normal increases in general state spending may add to, rather than ease, long-term budget imbalances.”<sup>28</sup>

Slot machine revenue at Mohegan Sun and Foxwoods casino in Connecticut have declined. Foxwoods experienced a 10.2 percent decline from September 2008 to September 2009. The Mohegan Sun casino and Pocono Downs in Pennsylvania declined by 6 to 10 percent in the quarter that ended on September 31, 2009. The table game revenue projected a drop of 23

---

<sup>24</sup> Id. At 7.

<sup>25</sup> Id. at 61, 62.

<sup>26</sup> The following quotes from the Governor were part of his opening remarks at the press conference announcing his support for expanded gambling. See Transcript: Governor Unveils Casino Gaming Plan (Sept. 17, 2007), available at [http://www.mass.gov/Agov3/video/2007-09-17\\_casino\\_announcement.rtf](http://www.mass.gov/Agov3/video/2007-09-17_casino_announcement.rtf).

<sup>27</sup> M., Marchand, “State/Local Gambling Revenues Drop by 2.6 Percent Across U.S. — Largest Decline in at Least Three Decades, New Rockefeller Institute Report Shows” The Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government, September 21, 2009

<sup>28</sup> Id.

percent to 26 percent.<sup>29</sup> Additionally, Foxwoods laid off 700 workers in the past year which is about 6% of it's workforce and Mohegan Sun laid off 500.<sup>30</sup>

The bills before this Committee today proposing to authorize predatory gambling are not good government. By authorizing predatory gambling, the Commonwealth would be creating a new population of addicted gamblers. The harm will reach far beyond individual gamblers by affecting their spouses, children, dependents, employers, and the community in which they live.

Government should promote the common good with the best interests of all citizens in mind. It is not "good economic policy" to increase the Commonwealth's income at a cost that involves the personal well-being of its citizens.

There are many known problems related to gambling and to the expansion of gambling to a predatory level. The scientific assessment of the social risks is disturbing. The continuing decline of revenue from established gaming operations in other states along with the large number of casino employees laid off in neighboring Connecticut paint a negative forecast for such operations in Massachusetts. For these reasons, the Conference urges the Committee to give legislation that expands gambling in Massachusetts an unfavorable report recommending that such bills ought not to pass.

The Conference is the public policy office of the Roman Catholic Bishops in the Commonwealth, representing the Archdiocese of Boston and the Diocese of Fall River, Springfield, and Worcester.

---

<sup>29</sup> "Business in Brief: Revenues for Casino Slot Machines Drop Again", The Patriot Ledger, October 17, 2009.

<sup>30</sup> "A Risky Gamble" Cape Code Times, September 28, 2009.